

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,633	08/06/2001	Dirk Stockhusen	2001P04668US01	2065
75	590 09/23/2004	)	EXAMI	NER
Siemens Corporation			ELAHEE, MD S	
Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator			Anathur	DARDAUD (DED
Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
186 Wood Avenue South			2645	$\sim$
Iselin, NJ 08830			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/923,633	STOCKHUSEN, DIRK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Md S Elahee	2645			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  rn the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 06 August 2001 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or awing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is constant.	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been recei	ation No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02</u>.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informa  6) Other:	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2645

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Objections

1. Claims 7, 15, 23 and 30 are objected to because of the following informalities: the use of "Association/Electronics" makes the claim indefinite since the slash mark means either "and" or "or". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 5, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24, 26-29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souissi (U.S. Patent No. 6,785,556) and in view of Lai (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0086702).

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Souissi teaches a Modem Configuration Trigger 205 (i.e., mode manager) for managing switching of the system between a first mode utilizing a first air interface standard supported by a first protocol stack and a second mode utilizing a second air interface standard supported by a second protocol stack (fig.2A, 8, 9; col.5, lines 13-18, col.6, lines 3-23, col.7, lines 46-66, col.8, lines 11-26).

Souissi further teaches a display (i.e., user interface) (fig.9) for communicating information and commands between the first and second protocol stacks and a user for controlling the PDA (fig.9; col.7, lines 29-42, 46-66, col.8, lines 11-26).

Art Unit: 2645

Souissi does not specifically teach mobile telephone. Lai teaches a PDA with mobile phone (i.e., telephone) function (abstract; fig.1; page 1, paragraphs 0008, 0016). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Souissi to allow a mobile telephone as taught by Lai. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to use the mobile phone function without opening the flip cover.

Souissi further teaches an application layer for reducing functional interface between the first and second protocol stacks to layers of the first and second protocol stacks subsequent to the display (fig.9; col.7, lines 46-66, col.8, lines 11-26). (Note; different icons on display (fig.9) are inherently on application layer and working on single display, therefore, reducing functional interface between different protocol stacks to layers of the different protocol stacks)

Souissi further teaches that control of the PDA is provided via a display (i.e., single man machine interface) that is substantially consistent across the first and second modes (fig.9; col.7, lines 46-66, col.8, lines 11-26).

Regarding claims 3, 11, 19 and 26, Souissi teaches a man machine interface manager for translating information between the first air interface mode and the second air interface mode (fig.3, 4; col.5, lines 30-67, col.6, lines 1-10).

Regarding claims 5 and 21, Souissi teaches a Coputer Hard Disk 220 (i.e., common database) for storage of user data utilized by the first and second protocol stacks, the user data including at least one of an address book entry, a phonebook entry, a short message, an email, a ringing tone, and a picture (col.5, lines 26-30). (Note; address book entry, a phonebook entry, a picture are inherent for PDA)

Art Unit: 2645

Regarding claims 8, 16, 24 and 31, Souissi teaches the user interface, application layer, and mode manager are integrated with the first protocol stack (fig.9; col.5, lines 13-18, col.7, lines 46-66, col.8, lines 11-26).

Regarding claim 9 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, Souissi teaches that a first protocol stack for supporting a first air interface standard providing a first functionality and a second protocol stack for supporting a second air interface standard providing a second functionality (fig. 9; col.5, lines 13-18, col.6, lines 3-23, 65-67, col.7, lines 46-66, col.8, lines 11-26). (Note: different protocol stack for supporting a different interface standard provides inherently different functionality)

Regarding claim 24 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed above with respect to claim 1. Furthermore, Souissi teaches that a hardware system including at least one chipset and a hardware interface for controlling the PDA (fig. 9; col.5, lines 13-18, 25-34, 51-62, col.6, lines 3-23).

Souissi further teaches that the first and second protocol stacks running on the at least one chipset (fig. 9; col.5, lines 13-18, 25-34, 51-62, col.6, lines 3-23, col.7, lines 46-63).

4. Claims 2, 10 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souissi (U.S. Patent No. 6,785,556) and in view of Lim (U.S. Patent No. 6,697,355).

Regarding claims 2, 10 and 18, Souissi does not specifically teach a router for routing information to one of the first protocol stack and the second protocol stack. Lim teaches a router for routing information to one of the first protocol stack and the second protocol stack (fig.5; col.7, lines 52-60). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Souissi to allow a router for routing information to one of the

Art Unit: 2645

first protocol stack and the second protocol stack as taught by Lim. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to allow communications between two mobile stations.

5. Claims 4, 12 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souissi (U.S. Patent No. 6,785,556) and in view of Schenker et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,633,223).

Regarding claims 4, 12 and 27, Souissi fails to teach "a bridge for providing communication of information between the first protocol stack and the second protocol stack". Schenker teaches a bridge for providing communication of information between the first protocol stack and the second protocol stack (col.11, line 61- col.12, line 4). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Souissi to allow a bridge for providing communication of information between the first protocol stack and the second protocol stack as taught by Schenker. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to communicate with access points.

6. Claims 6 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souissi (U.S. Patent No. 6,785,556) and in view of Verma et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/00224792).

Regarding claim 5, Souissi fails to teach "a call database for storing call related data by the first and second protocol stacks". Verma teaches a call database for storing call related data by the first and second protocol stacks (page 4, paragraph 0043). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Souissi to allow a call database for storing call related data by the first and second protocol stacks as taught by Verma. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to perform a virtual PPP session.

Art Unit: 2645

7. Claims 7, 15, 23 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Souissi (U.S. Patent No. 6,785,556) and in view of Whinnett et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,943,3333).

Regarding claims 7, 15, 23 and 30, Souissi teaches that the first air interface standard comprises the Global System for Mobile communication (GSM) air interface standard (fig.9; col.1, lines 66, 67, col.2, line 1, col.6, lines 65-67).

However, Souissi does not specifically teach "the second air interface standard comprises the Telecommunications Industry Association/Electronics Industry Alliance Interim Standard 136 (TIA/EIA-136) air interface standard (fig.6; col.7, lines 18-22)". Whinnett teaches that the second air interface standard comprises the Telecommunications Industry Association/Electronics Industry Alliance Interim Standard 136 (TIA/EIA-136) air interface standard (fig.6; col.7, lines 18-22) (abstract; col.2, lines 66, 67, col.3, lines 1-51). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Souissi to allow the second air interface standard comprising the Telecommunications Industry Association/Electronics Industry Alliance Interim Standard 136 (TIA/EIA-136) air interface standard as taught by Whinnett. The motivation for the modification is to have doing so in order to increase the efficiency of cellular telephone systems, allowing a greater number of simultaneous conversations.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Korpela (U.S. Patent No. 5,946,634) teach Mobile communications.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/923,633

Art Unit: 2645

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Md S Elahee whose telephone number is (703) 305-4822. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon to Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on (703) 305-4895. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M.R.

MD SHAFIUL ALAM ELAHEE

September 16, 2004

FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600